



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/732,721	12/11/2000	Takayuki Asai	Q62202	2903

7590 10/01/2004

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037

EXAMINER

TRAN, CONGVAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2683

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/732,721	ASAI, TAKAYUKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CongVan Tran	2683	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 16 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
- (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
 - (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____.

3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: _____.
6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-10 and 12-16.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11.

8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on _____ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.
10. ☐ Other: _____

CONGVAN TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

CongVan Tran
Examiner
Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed Jul. 16, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument respect to claims 1, 6, and 12-16 that the reference fails to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "displaying data other than that which has been selected. Examiner respectfully disagrees. The Rossman's reference discloses a cellular telephone 100 to access server computer 121 on XYZ corporate wide area network 120. In response to the access by the user, server computer 121 transmits a card deck to cellular telephone 100 over data capable cellular telephone network 110 (see fig.1, element 100, 110, 121 and its description) and a card deck includes one or more cards, and each card is interpreted by the client module to generate a user interface screen. When the user presses a predetermined key, or key sequence, the client process in cellular telephone 100 interprets the next card in the card deck, i.e., the choice card, and in turn generates a menu (see fig.2B, 105, and 201 as another card). Therefore the previous final rejection is proper.

Regarding claim 2, that the Rossmann's reference fails to disclose or suggest displaying the data in a predetermined order, Examiner respectfully disagrees, in Rossmann's reference "the data received from the client module, in form of "cards" can be displayed by user in any order selected by the user". Therefore, it is inherent that the

Art Unit: 2683

user can select in any order of cards meaning as predetermined order selected by the user.

Regarding claims 4, 8, that the Rossmann's reference fails displayed for a predetermined period of time. Examiner respectfully disagrees, in Rossmann's reference has disclose displayed for a predetermined period of time (see col.48, line 31-col.49, line 36). However, displaying the image for a predetermined time is well known in the art.

Regarding claims 6, 13, and 16, that the Rossmann's reference fails to disclose or suggest "transferring second data from a second source" Examiner respectfully disagrees in Rossmann's reference the cellular telephone 100 to access server computer 121 and 130 as second source on XYZ corporate wide area network 120 (see fig.1, element 100, 121, 131 and its description).

For reasons represented above the previous final rejection remains.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CongVan Tran whose telephone number is 703-305-4024. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


CONGVAN TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

CongVan Tran
Examiner
Art Unit 2683

TCU
Sept.23, 2004.